IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE NINETH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 11782 of 2004

Between:

N. Raghunatha Reddy S/o Late N. Venkatarami Reddy
R/o Panchalingala Village, Kurnool Mandal, Kurnool District

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kurnool Mandal, Kurnool District

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the conduct of the respondent in not considering the petitioner's application dt 12-2-2004 for grant of Ryotwari Patta Under the provisions of the A.P. (AA) Inams (Abolition and conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1956 in respect of theland, an extent of Ac. 0.95 cents in Sy. No. 331/1 and Ac. 1.50 cents in Sy. No. 334/1 in Panchalingala Village, Kurnool Mandal, Kurnool District, as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondent to consider the petitioner's application and grant Ryotwari Patta to the petitioner,

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.P.ROY REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

W.P. No. 11782 of 2004

Oral order:

The only grievance of the petitioner though he made an application to the respondent on 12-2-2004 under the provisions of the A.P. (Andhra Area) Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Rhyotwari) Act, 1956 for grant of ryotwari patta in respect of an extent of Ac. 0-95 cents in Sy. No. 331/1 and Ac.1-50 cents in Sy. No. 334/1 of Panchalingala Village, Kurnool Mandal, the respondent without disposing of the same and without passing any orders thereon, has threatened to assign the said land to third parties by dispossessing him. Hence, he filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue, and they both agreed for disposal of the writ petition directing the respondent to dispose of the application of the petitioner expeditiously. In view of the agreed stand taken by both the counsel, the writ petition is disposed of with the following directions:

The respondent is directed to consider and dispose of the application made by the petitioner on 12-2-2004 for grant of ryotwari patta in respect of the land aforementioned, and pass appropriate orders thereon expeditiously, and till such time the application is not disposed of, the petitioner shall not be dispossessed from the said land. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: July 9, 2004.

KSR